

Program Assessment: Annual Report

Program(s): MS in Cybersecurity Department: College/School: School from Professional Studies Date: April 2019 Primary Assessment Contact: John P. Buerck, Ph.D. (Interim Program Director) 1. Which program student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? The MS in Cybersecurity began in the fall of 2018. During the 2018-2019 academic year two courses in Cybersecurity were taught. • CYBR5000 – Cybersecurity Principles • CYBR5961 – Cybersecurity Master's Research Project With a low population of students at the start of the program, the Interim Program Director determined that no changes would be made to the program at this time. 2. What data/artifacts of student learning were collected for each assessed outcome? Were Madr student artifacts included?	
College/School: School from Professional Studies Date: April 2019 Primary Assessment Contact: John P. Buerck, Ph.D. (Interim Program Director) 1. Which program student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? The MS in Cybersecurity began in the fall of 2018. During the 2018-2019 academic year two courses in Cybersecurity were taught. • CYBR5000 – Cybersecurity Principles • CYBR5961 – Cybersecurity Master's Research Project With a low population of students at the start of the program, the Interim Program Director determined that no changes would be made to the program at this time.	
Primary Assessment Contact: John P. Buerck, Ph.D. (Interim Program Director) 1. Which program student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? The MS in Cybersecurity began in the fall of 2018. During the 2018-2019 academic year two courses in Cybersecurity were taught. • CYBR5000 – Cybersecurity Principles • CYBR5961 – Cybersecurity Master's Research Project With a low population of students at the start of the program, the Interim Program Director determined that no changes would be made to the program at this time.	
Primary Assessment Contact: John P. Buerck, Ph.D. (Interim Program Director) 1. Which program student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? The MS in Cybersecurity began in the fall of 2018. During the 2018-2019 academic year two courses in Cybersecurity were taught. • CYBR5000 – Cybersecurity Principles • CYBR5961 – Cybersecurity Master's Research Project With a low population of students at the start of the program, the Interim Program Director determined that no changes would be made to the program at this time.	
 Which program student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? The MS in Cybersecurity began in the fall of 2018. During the 2018-2019 academic year two courses in Cybersecurity were taught. CYBR5000 – Cybersecurity Principles CYBR5961 – Cybersecurity Master's Research Project With a low population of students at the start of the program, the Interim Program Director determined that no changes would be made to the program at this time. What data/artifacts of student learning were collected for each assessed outcome? Were Madr 	
The MS in Cybersecurity began in the fall of 2018. During the 2018-2019 academic year two courses in Cybersecurity were taught. • CYBR5000 – Cybersecurity Principles • CYBR5961 – Cybersecurity Master's Research Project With a low population of students at the start of the program, the Interim Program Director determined that no changes would be made to the program at this time.	
 CYBR5000 – Cybersecurity Principles CYBR5961 – Cybersecurity Master's Research Project With a low population of students at the start of the program, the Interim Program Director determined that no changes would be made to the program at this time. What data/artifacts of student learning were collected for each assessed outcome? Were Madr 	
CYBR5961 – Cybersecurity Master's Research Project With a low population of students at the start of the program, the Interim Program Director determined that no changes would be made to the program at this time. What data/artifacts of student learning were collected for each assessed outcome? Were Madr	
With a low population of students at the start of the program, the Interim Program Director determined that no changes would be made to the program at this time. 2. What data/artifacts of student learning were collected for each assessed outcome? Were Madr	
determined that no changes would be made to the program at this time. 2. What data/artifacts of student learning were collected for each assessed outcome? Were Madr	
	d
3. How did you analyze the assessment data? What was the process? Who was involved? NOTE: If you used rubrics as part of your analysis, please include them in an appendix.	
 What did you learn from the data? <u>Summarize</u> the major findings of your analysis for each assessoutcome. 	sed
NOTE: If necessary, include any tables, charts, or graphs in an appendix.	
 How did your analysis inform meaningful change? How did you use the analyzed data to make a implement recommendations for change in pedagogy, curriculum design, or your assessment plan 	
	n?

6. Did you follow up ("close the loop") on past assessment work? If so, what did you learn? (For example, has that curriculum change you made two years ago manifested in improved student learning today, as evidenced in your recent assessment data and analysis?)

_				

IMPORTANT: Please submit any <u>revised/updated assessment plans</u> to the University Assessment Coordinator along with this report.